FILED
07-19-13
04:59 PM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of **Calnev Pipe Line LLC** (PLC-1) for authority pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 455.3, to increase its rates for intrastate pipeline transportation services.	))))	A1307013 Application No. __________

APPLICATION OF
CALNEV PIPE LINE LLC

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
James D. Squeri
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
E-mail: jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com

Date: July19, 2013

Attorneys for Calnev Pipe Line LLC

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of **Calnev Pipe Line LLC** (PLC-1) for authority pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 454, to increase its rates for intrastate pipeline transportation services.	))))	Application No. ________

APPLICATION OF CALNEV PIPE LINE LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code, and Rules 6(a) and 23 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"), Calnev Pipe Line LLC ("Calnev" or "Applicant") submits its application requesting authority to increase the rates that it charges for transportation by pipeline of refined petroleum products within California.[1] The requested rate increase, if authorized, will increase revenues by $846,000 over projected revenue at rates currently in effect.[2]

Section 454 authorizes oil pipeline public utilities like Calnev to increase their public utility pipeline transportation rates subject to a showing before the Commission and a finding by the Commission that the proposed new rates are justified.

By this application, Calnev is requesting authority pursuant to Public Utilities ("PU") Code Section 454 to increase its rates for intrastate pipeline transportation services by $846,000, to become effective upon approval by the Commission. In support of the requested rate increase, Calnev provides relevant information as referenced below.

[1] Unless otherwise noted, all statutory references are to the Public Utilities Code.
[2] Calnev's Test Year 2013 intrastate revenues at existing rates are estimated to be about $2.2 million; 2013 Test Year revenues at the proposed rates are approximately $3.0 million.

II. CORRESPONDENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS

All correspondence and communications with respect to this Application should be addressed or directed as follows:

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
James D. Squeri
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
E-mail: jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com

Attorneys for Calnev Pipe Line LLC

III. CALNEV EXISTING AND PROPOSED RATES

Origin	Destination	Existing Rates (effective 1/14/13)	Proposed Rate	Percent Increase
Colton	Adelanto	$0.3702	$0.3702	0.00%
Colton	BNSF	$0.4815	$0.7315	51.92%
Colton	SCIA	$0.5445	$0.7945	45.91%
Colton	Barstow Commercial	$0.5565	$0.8065	44.92%
Colton	Yermo	$0.4961	$0.7461	50.39%

IV. JUSTIFICATION FOR REQUESTED RATE INCREASE AND PROPOSED RATE DESIGN

As set forth in Exhibit A hereto, Calnev presents its analysis of its achieved return for Test Year 2013 assuming the proposed rate increase and related increase in revenue of $846,000. The analysis of Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service and revenues as set forth in Exhibit A shows that Calnev's overall achieved return is negative (-) 16.22%, with an achieved return on equity of negative (-) 37.06%. Attachment A includes four pages: page 1 presents

Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service; page 2 presents Calnev's 2013 Test Year achieved return; and pages 3 and 4 summarize Calnev's 2013 Test Year rate base and operating expenses.[3]

The calculation of Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service relies on Professor James H. Vander Weide for cost of capital input values (i.e., cost of equity, cost of debt, and capital structure), and incorporates 2013 Test Year volumes and revenues provided by Peter M. Dito.[4] With regard to development of Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service, the base period data for operating expenses is January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, and for all property placed into service as of December 31, 2012.[5]

To separate costs at joint-use facilities that provide both intrastate and interstate service, a "Volumetric Route Directory" was developed, using 2013 Test Year volumes provided by Mr. Dito. Since there are no new projects on the horizon and no expected volume growth, the volumes provided by Mr. Dito are the actuals for 2012, increased by 25 MB to reflect a full year of Barstow military at 440 MBY. The Volumetric Route Directory calculates the percentage of intrastate versus interstate destined volumes that are transported through or offloaded at each delivery point along the joint-use facilities. These percentages are then applied to the investment and operating expense costs of each respective facility.[6]

Development of rate base relies on the Depreciated Original Cost ("DOC") rate base methodology. Total DOC rate base consists of net book plant adjusted for an Allowance for Funds Used During Construction ("AFUDC") and working capital. In light of the Commission's determination in Decision No. 11-05-045 to deny inclusion of an income tax allowance in the

[3] *See* Attachment B hereto; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 4.
[4] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 5.
[5] *See* Attachment B hereto; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 7.
[6] *Id.* at par. 8.

cost of service for tax pass-through entities, there is no corresponding adjustment to Calnev's intrastate rate base for ADIT. Calnev's rate base is summarized on page 3 of Attachment A.[7]

Development of Calnev's CPUC-jurisdictional net book plant, which is comprised of gross property less accumulated depreciation, is based on detailed data provided by Calnev which listed total company historical gross property-related transactions through December 31, 2012 in an electronic database format. This property data represents the original cost of the property when initially placed in service. Appropriate separation and allocation factors were applied to these gross investment transactions to determine CPUC-jurisdictional investment. Using Calnev's book depreciation rates, accumulated depreciation and annual depreciation expense on CPUC-jurisdictional original cost investment was calculated.[8]

AFUDC compensates investors for funds expended to construct or purchase pipeline assets before the assets are placed in service. AFUDC was calculated based upon Calnev's annual additions of intrastate carrier property in service, assuming capital projects are completed and placed in service evenly throughout the year. The cumulative balance of AFUDC is depreciated based upon the company-wide composite depreciation rate. Additionally, all capitalized interest during construction was excluded.[9]

Working capital consists of investments and prepayments required to support the ongoing operation of a pipeline. Specifically, for oil pipelines, working capital reflects the sum of the balances for oil inventory, materials and supplies, and prepayments. Calnev's total company working capital amounts were allocated to Calnev's intrastate system based upon the ratio of intrastate carrier property in service to total company property in service.[10]

---

[7] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par.9.
[8] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 10.
[9] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 11.
[10] *Id.* at par. 12.

For financial reporting purposes, Calnev uses the accrual method of accounting mandated by the FERC's Uniform System of Accounts ("USofA") and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). Therefore, to develop 2013 Test Year operating expenses, as shown in Attachment A (page 4), it was necessary to make certain regulatory adjustments to Calnev's operating expenses recorded in the base period (January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012).

Additionally, the amount of oil losses and shortages expense that Calnev incurs may vary from year to year. Therefore, to assess the reasonableness of the amount to be included in Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service, it is appropriate to compare the amount of oil losses and shortages expense incurred in 2012 to the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 to 2012. This analysis showed that the amount incurred in 2012 is higher than the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 through 2012. Therefore, instead of using the amount of oil losses and shortages expense incurred in 2012, a normalized amount based on the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 to 2012 was included.[11]

Based upon the foregoing, Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service calculations and results were developed. Specifically, page 1 of Attachment A presents the cost of service results, while page 2 presents Calnev's achieved return.

The cost of capital input values provided by Dr. Vander Weide are shown at the bottom of page 1 of Attachment A, lines 14 through 17. Page 1, line 2 of Attachment A reflects the weighted average cost-of-capital calculation. The cost of capital input values include three elements: (1) cost of equity (12.5%) ; (2) cost of debt (5.4%) ; and (3) the percentages of debt and equity in the capital structure 49.08%-50.92%). Because Calnev has no publicly traded

[11] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 14.

stock or capital structure, these elements must be estimated from data for comparable-risk publicly-traded companies. Calnev estimates the cost of equity component by applying the Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF") model to three groups of publicly-traded oil pipeline companies. The weighted average cost of capital is then estimated by combining the cost of equity for the proxy group with the average cost of debt and capital structure for the proxy group or with the cost of debt and capital structure of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners ("KMEP"). The cost of equity estimates use data as of the end of May 2013. Cost of debt and capital structure data are as of the end of the first quarter 2013. The three estimates of the weighted average cost of capital are 9.02 percent, 9.03 percent, and 9.11 percent. Calnev conservatively chose to use the lowest estimate, 9.02 percent, as the cost of capital input in its cost of service study.[12]

The 9.02 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of five publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with investment-grade bond ratings and representative equity ratios. The 12.5 percent average DCF result for this group of five companies combined with the 5.40 percent average cost of debt and the average 49.08 percent debt/50.92 percent equity capital structure for this group of companies produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.02 percent.[13]

The 9.03 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of seven investment-grade publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with sufficient information to calculate a DCF result. The 13.3 percent average DCF result for this group of seven companies combined with KMEP's 5.94 percent cost of debt and 58.06 percent

[12] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par. 18.
[13] *See* Attachment B; Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore at par.17.

debt/41.94 percent equity capital structure produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.03 percent.[14]

The 9.11 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of nine publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with sufficient information to calculate a DCF result. The 13.5 percent average DCF result for this group of nine companies combined with KMEP's 5.94 percent cost of debt and 58.06 percent debt/41.94 percent equity capital structure produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.11 percent.[15]

Assuming no tariff rate change, Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues using current rates as calculated by Mr. Dito are approximately $2.2 million. Using the proposed tariff rate changes, Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues as calculated by Mr. Dito are approximately $3.0 million.[16]

As page 1 of Attachment A shows, Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service is approximately $4.8 million. This exceeds Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues, assuming the proposed tariff rate increase, by $1.8 million. As page 2 of Attachment A reflects, Calnev's overall achieved rate of return for the 2013 Test Year is -16.22%, and Calnev's achieved rate of return on equity for the 2013 Test Year is -37.06%.[17]

With respect to the increased rates that are the subject of this application, Calnev will realize a <u>negative</u> return on equity rate base and will continue to substantially underearn any reasonably derived cost of service related to its intrastate pipeline transportation services. [18] Specifically, the requested rate increase of $846, 000 will only result in changing Calnev's

---

[14] *Id.* at par. 18.
[15] *Id.* at par. 19.
[16] *Id.* at par. 20.
[17] *Id.* at. par. 21 and par. 22.
[18] The referenced calculations reflect the ratemaking methodology and certain assumptions set forth in Commission Decision No. 11-05-045 issued May 26, 2011, including rejection of an income tax

(footnote continued)

achieved return from -28.35% to -16.22%. The fact that Calnev, even assuming an increase of $846,000, cannot, under any reasonable assessment of its utility cost of service, possibly earn any return on its utility investment, much less a reasonable return, is **per se** justification of the reasonableness of the subject rate increase. Furthermore, as shown in Exhibit A hereto, even assuming the subject rate increase, Calnev projected revenues ($3,018,000) will recover less than three-fourths of Calnev's projected annual operating expenses ($4,148,000).

With regard to rate design, the rates reflecting the proposed increase of $846,000, as set forth in Section III above, have been derived by increasing each of the current commercial rates by 25 cents per barrel, based on recommendations from the Calnev marketing group. Calnev is faced with the difficult position of working to maintain business at its intrastate locations while trying to increase its revenue to reduce its existing negative return on investment. The requested increase represents a step in achieving a balance between such competing goals. Exhibit C hereto sets forth the Calnev tariff sheets reflecting increased rates as set forth in Section III above.

V. INFORMATION REQUIRED BY RULE 3.2

Calnev is a Delaware limited liability company.[19] Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. ("KMEP"), a publicly traded partnership which, along with its affiliates, owns and exercises control of Calnev, as authorized by the Commission in D. 01-03-074 issued March 27, 2001.

---

allowance.

[19] As permitted by Rule 2.2. of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Calnev informs the Commission that Calnev's Certificate of Formation and Good Standing (Delaware) as well as its Certificate of Good Standing/Foreign Limited Partnership (California) have previously been filed with the Commission as Exhibit B to A. 06-09-016.

Calnev is a 550-mile refined products pipeline system that spans from Colton, California, to Las Vegas, including a 55-mile, non-jurisdictional military delivery pipeline serving Edwards Air Force Base. It can transport over 150,000 barrels of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel per day. The Calnev system consists of two pipelines, an 8-inch and a 14-inch line, that operate around the clock to provide California and Las Vegas consumers approximately 40 million barrels of petroleum products per year, 10% of which is delivered to California destinations. The intrastate portions of the Calnev pipeline system that are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction serve origin and destination points in San Bernardino County. The Calnev system connects in Colton with SFPP.

The address of Calnev is 1100 Town & Country Road, Orange, CA 92868; their telephone number for this proceeding is (714) 560-4780. Communications to Calnev concerning this application should be addressed to James Squeri, counsel for Calnev, at the address set forth herein.

Exhibit D includes the most recently available financial statements for Calnev, consisting of balance sheet and income statement information submitted in conjunction with Calnev's individual, 2012 FERC Form 6 filings.

Exhibit E is notice of the proposed rate increase provided by Calnev to its shippers as well as a list of the tariff subscribers to whom the notice was sent.

VI. INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR RULE 2.1(c) and RULE 7 COMPLIANCE

The subject application seeks authorization, pursuant to Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code, for Calnev to increase the rates it charges for intrastate public utility pipeline services by $846,000.

1. Proposed Category: Calnev proposes that the application be treated as a "ratesetting" proceeding.

2. Need for Hearing: Given the *per se* reasonableness of the requested rate increase of $846,000, Calnev does not believe that there are any disputed factual issues that would otherwise require a hearing.

3. Issues Requiring Consideration: The sole issue raised by this application is whether Calnev's proposal to increase its rates by $846,000 is reasonable.

4. Proposed Schedule: Calnev proposes the following schedule:

Application Filed	July 19, 2013
Notice in Daily Calendar	TBD by CPUC Docket Office
Protests Due	30 Days After Daily Calendar Notice
ALJ Draft Decision	November, 2013
Commission Decision	January, 2014

VII. EXHIBITS

As required by Commission Rules 15, 16, and 23, Calnev provides the following information and exhibits:

Exhibit A	Analyses of Calnev's Achieved Return
Exhibit B	Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore
Exhibit C	Calnev Proposed Tariffs
Exhibit D	Calnev Financial Statements
Exhibit E	Form of Shipper Notice

VIII. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Calnev requests:

1. That the Commission issue an opinion and order approving the requested

increase in Calnev's intrastate tariff rates as quickly as is practicable; and

2. That the Commission grant such other and further relief as shall be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of July, 2013 at San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
James D. Squeri
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
E-mail: jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com

By *_/s/ James D. Squeri_*
James D. Squeri

Attorneys for Calnev Pipe Line LLC

2539/001/X153683.v1

VERIFICATION OF COUNSEL

I, James D. Squeri, declare:

I am an attorney at law duly admitted and licensed to practice before all courts of this State and I have my professional office at Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Day & Lamprey, LLC, 505 Sansome Street, Suite 900, San Francisco, California 94111.

I am an attorney for Applicant, Calnev Pipe Line LLC, in the above-entitled matter.

No officer of Calnev Pipe Line LLC. is present in the county in which I have my office and for that reason I am making this verification on behalf of Calnev Pipe Line LLC.

I have read the foregoing Application and know the contents thereof.

I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and, on that ground, I allege that the matters stated therein are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at San Francisco, California on this 19th day of July, 2013.

/s/ James D. Squeri
James D. Squeri

EXHIBIT A

Analyses of Calnev's Achieved Return

Calnev Pipe Line LLC
CPUC-Jurisdictional Cost of Service
For the 2013 Test Year
($000's)

Line No.	Description	Amount
1	Total Rate Base	$6,970
2	Weighted Cost of Capital	9.02%
3	Total Return on Rate Base	$628
4	Income Tax Allowance	-
5	Operating Expenses	$3,808
6	Depreciation Expense	$334
7	Amortization of AFUDC	$6
8	Total Operating Expenses	$4,148
9	Total Cost of Service	$4,776
10	Test Year Revenue at Current Rates	$2,172
11	Revenue Deficiency - Before Proposed Tariff Change	($2,604)
12	Test Year Revenue at Proposed Rates	$3,018
13	Revenue Deficiency - After Proposed Tariff Change	($1,759)
	Cost of Capital Components	
14	Equity Capital Percentage	50.92%
15	Debt Capital Percentage	49.08%
16	Cost of Debt	5.40%
17	Equity Rate of Return (Nominal)	12.50%

Calnev Pipe Line LLC
CPUC-Jurisdictional Achieved Return
For the 2013 Test Year
($000's)

Line No.		Amount
1	Test Year Revenue at Proposed Rates	$3,018
2	Operating Expenses	$3,808
3	Depreciation & Amortization	$340
4	Total Expenses	$4,148
5	Income Tax Expense	-
6	Achieved Return	($1,130)
7	Imputed Interest	$185
8	Net Return	($1,315)
9	Total Net Rate Base	$6,970
10	Equity Capital Percentage	50.92%
11	Equity Portion of Rate Base	$3,549
12	Overall Achieved Return	-16.22%
13	Achieved Return on Equity	-37.06%

Calnev Pipe Line LLC
CPUC-Jurisdictional Original Cost Rate Base
For the 2013 Test Year
($000's)

Line No.	Description	Amount
1	Carrier Property in Service	$11,349
2	AFUDC	$410
3	Subtotal	$11,759
4	Accrued Depreciation	($4,582)
5	Accumulated Amortization of AFUDC	($215)
6	Subtotal	($4,797)
7	Net Book Plant	$6,962
8	Working Capital	$8
9	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	$0
10	Original Cost Rate Base	$6,970

Calnev Pipe Line LLC
Summary of California Intrastate Operating Expenses, by FERC Account
For the 2013 Test Year
($000's)

Line No.		Description	Amount
		OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
1	300	Salaries and Wages	$745
2	310	Materials and Supplies	$186
3	320	Outside Services	$636
4	330	Operating Fuel and Power	$405
5	340	Oil Losses and Shortages	$115
6	350	Rentals	$10
7	390	Other Expenses	$61
8		Total Operations Expense	$2,158
		GENERAL	
9	500	Salaries and Wages	$617
10	510	Materials and Supplies	$14
11	520	Outside Services	$254
12	530	Rentals	$13
13	550	Employee Benefits	$478
14	560	Insurance	$0
15	580	Pipeline Taxes	$220
16	590	Other Expenses	$54
17		Total General Expense	$1,650
18		Total Operating Expenses	$3,808

EXHIBIT B

Declaration of Erik G. Wetmore

DECLARATION OF ERIK G. WETMORE

I, Erik G. Wetmore, declare as follows:

1. I am a Principal of Turner Wetmore Collins, LLC, a firm that provides consulting services to the regulated sector of the energy transportation industry.

2. I have 24 years of professional experience, primarily in advising regulated energy transportation companies on ratemaking, accounting, and business strategy issues. My experience includes providing testimony in oil pipeline rate proceedings before this Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), and the Regulatory Commission of Alaska. I have also directed project teams in rate proceedings both before the FERC and state regulatory commissions, participated as an accounting and ratemaking advisor in rate proceeding settlement negotiations, and advised oil pipeline carriers and industry groups with respect to regulatory accounting requirements. I received an M.B.A., with concentrations in Finance and Economics, from the University of Chicago Booth School of Business, and a B.A. in Mathematics and Economics from the University of California at Santa Barbara. I also earned a Certified Public Accountant license in the State of California.

3. I have provided testimony on behalf of Calnev Pipe Line LLC ("Calnev") both before this Commission and before the FERC.

4. Calnev requested that I calculate its 2013 Test Year cost of service, and the results of the requested analysis are set forth on Attachment A to my declaration. Attachment A includes four pages: page 1 presents Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service; page 2 presents Calnev's 2013 Test Year achieved return; and pages 3 and 4 summarize Calnev's 2013 Test Year rate base and operating expenses.

5. To calculate Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service, I relied on Professor James H. Vander Weide for cost of capital input values (i.e., cost of equity, cost of debt, and capital structure). I also relied on Peter M. Dito for 2013 Test Year volumes and revenues.

6. My declaration addresses the development of Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service. First, I describe how costs incurred at certain Calnev facilities that provide both CPUC intrastate and FERC interstate transportation services are separated between the two jurisdictions. Second, I describe the development of Calnev's 2013 Test Year rate base. Third, I describe the development of Calnev's 2013 Test Year operating expenses. Finally, I present Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service results.

7. In developing Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service, the base period data for operating expenses is January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, and for all property placed into service as of December 31, 2012.

8. To separate costs at joint-use facilities that provide both intrastate and interstate service, I developed a "Volumetric Route Directory" using 2013 Test Year volumes developed by Mr. Dito. The Volumetric Route Directory calculates the percentage of intrastate versus interstate destined volumes that are transported through or offloaded at each delivery point along the joint-use facilities. These percentages are then applied to the investment and operating expense costs of each respective facility.

9. To develop rate base, I utilized the Depreciated Original Cost ("DOC") rate base methodology. Total DOC rate base consists of net book plant adjusted for an Allowance for Funds Used During Construction ("AFUDC") and working capital. In light of the Commission's determination in Decision No. 11-05-045 to deny inclusion of an income tax allowance in the cost of service for tax pass-through entities, I have correspondingly

not adjusted Calnev's intrastate rate base for ADIT. Calnev's rate base is summarized on page 3 of Attachment A.

10. To develop Calnev's CPUC-jurisdictional net book plant, which is comprised of gross property less accumulated depreciation, I relied on detailed data provided by Calnev which listed total company historical gross property-related transactions through December 31, 2012 in an electronic database format. This property data represents the original cost of the property when initially placed in service. I applied separation and allocation factors to these gross investment transactions to determine CPUC-jurisdictional investment. Using Calnev's book depreciation rates, I then calculated accumulated depreciation and annual depreciation expense on CPUC-jurisdictional original cost investment.

11. AFUDC compensates investors for funds expended to construct or purchase pipeline assets before the assets are placed in service. I calculated AFUDC based upon Calnev's annual additions of intrastate carrier property in service, assuming capital projects are completed and placed in service evenly throughout the year. The cumulative balance of AFUDC is depreciated based upon a company-wide composite depreciation rate. Additionally, I removed any capitalized interest during construction.

12. Working capital consists of investments and prepayments required to support the ongoing operation of a pipeline. Specifically, for oil pipelines, working capital reflects the sum of the balances for oil inventory, materials and supplies, and prepayments. I allocated Calnev's total company working capital amounts to its intrastate system based upon the ratio of intrastate carrier property in service to total company property in service.

13. For financial reporting purposes, Calnev uses the accrual method of accounting mandated by the FERC's Uniform System of Accounts ("USofA") and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). Therefore, to develop 2013 Test Year operating expenses, as shown in Attachment A (page 4), it was necessary to make certain regulatory adjustments to Calnev's operating expenses recorded in the base period (January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012).

14. Additionally, the amount of oil losses and shortages expense that Calnev incurs may vary from year to year. Therefore, to assess the reasonableness of the amount to be included in Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service, I compared the amount of oil losses and shortages expense incurred in 2012 to the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 to 2012. This analysis showed that the amount incurred in 2012 is higher than the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 through 2012. Therefore, instead of using the amount of oil losses and shortages expense incurred in 2012, I instead include a normalized amount based on the average amount incurred for the five-year period 2008 to 2012.

15. I now present Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service calculations and results. Specifically, page 1 of Attachment A presents the cost of service results, while page 2 presents Calnev's achieved return.

16. The cost of capital input values provided by Dr. Vander Weide are shown at the bottom of page 1 of Attachment A, lines 14 through 17. Page 1, line 2 of Attachment A reflects the weighted average cost-of-capital calculation. The cost of capital input values include three elements: (1) cost of equity; (2) cost of debt; and (3) the percentages of debt and equity in the capital structure. Because Calnev has no publicly traded stock or capital

structure, these elements must be estimated from data for comparable-risk publicly-traded companies. The Company estimates the cost of equity component by applying the Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF") model to three groups of publicly-traded oil pipeline companies. The weighted average cost of capital is then estimated by combining the cost of equity for the proxy group with the average cost of debt and capital structure for the proxy group or with the cost of debt and capital structure of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners ("KMEP"). The cost of equity estimates use data as of the end of May 2013. Cost of debt and capital structure data are as of the end of the first quarter 2013. The three estimates of the weighted average cost of capital are 9.02 percent, 9.03 percent, and 9.11 percent. The Company conservatively chose to use the lowest estimate, 9.02 percent, as the cost of capital input in its cost of service study.

17. The 9.02 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of five publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with investment-grade bond ratings and representative equity ratios. The 12.5 percent average DCF result for this group of five companies combined with the 5.40 percent average cost of debt and the average 49.08 percent debt/50.92 percent equity capital structure for this group of companies produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.02 percent.

18. The 9.03 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of seven investment-grade publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with sufficient information to calculate a DCF result. The 13.3 percent average DCF result for this group of seven companies combined with KMEP's 5.94 percent cost of debt and 58.06 percent debt/41.94 percent equity capital structure produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.03 percent.

19. The 9.11 percent cost of capital estimate is based on the average DCF result for a proxy group of nine publicly-traded oil pipeline companies with sufficient information to calculate a DCF result. The 13.5 percent average DCF result for this group of nine companies combined with KMEP's 5.94 percent cost of debt and 58.06 percent debt/41.94 percent equity capital structure produces a weighted average cost of capital equal to 9.11 percent.

20. Assuming no tariff rate change, Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues calculated by Mr. Dito are approximately $2.2 million. With the proposed tariff rate change, Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues as calculated by Mr. Dito are approximately $3.0 million.

21. As page 1 of Attachment A to my declaration reflects, Calnev's 2013 Test Year cost of service is approximately $4.8 million. This exceeds Calnev's 2013 Test Year revenues, assuming the proposed tariff rate increase, by $1.8 million.

22. As page 2 of Attachment A to my declaration reflects, Calnev's overall achieved rate of return for the 2013 Test Year is -16.22%, and Calnev's achieved rate of return on equity for the 2013 Test Year is -37.06%.

The foregoing declaration is submitted under penalty of perjury in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Dated: July 18 2013

Erik G. Wetmore

2539/001/X153993.v1

EXHIBIT C

Calnev Proposed Tariffs

Cal PUC No. 17
(Cancels Cal PUC No. 16)

CALNEV PIPE LINE LLC
LOCAL PIPELINE TARIFF

**CONTAINING
RATES**

**APPLYING ON THE TRANSPORTATION
OF
PETROLEUM PRODUCTS
BY PIPELINE**

THIS TARIFF APPLIES TO INTRASTATE TRAFFIC ONLY

Rates herein are governed by **Rules and Regulations** provided in Calnev Pipe Line LLC's tariff **Cal PUC No. 15,** Supplements thereto and reissues thereof.

NOTICE: The provisions published herein will, if effective, not result in an adverse effect on the quality of the human environment.

NOTICE: For explanation of abbreviations and reference marks, see concluding page of this tariff.

ISSUED: July 19, 2013 **EFFECTIVE: Pending Cal PUC Approval**
(Application No. ___________) **[C]** ~~③~~

Issued By:

[W] Ronald G. McClain ~~Thomas A. Bannigan~~, for
Calnev Pipe Line LLC
[W] 1001 Louisiana St. ~~500 Dallas~~, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77002

Compiled By:

John Reyneveld
Calnev Pipe Line LLC
1100 Town & Country Road
Orange CA 92868
(714) 560- 4954
E-mail: Tariff_Group@kindermorgan.com

Table of Rates

From Station On C.P.L.	Via	To Stations On C.P.L. At	Rates In Cents Per Barrel	
			Terminaling Services Provided by Carrier at Destination	No Terminaling Services Provided by Carrier at Destination
Colton San Bernardino County California	Calnev Pipe Line LLC	Adelanto ② San Bernardino County California		[U] 37.02
		BNSF Takeoff San Bernardino County California		[I] 73.15
		Southern California International Airport ① San Bernardino County California		[I] 79.45
		Barstow Terminal San Bernardino County California	[I] 80.65	
		Yermo San Bernardino County California		[I] 74.61

Exceptions to RULES AND REGULATIONS

Calnev Pipe Line LLC Cal PUC No. 15, Supplements thereto and reissues thereof.

Item 40. Minimum Batch and Delivery Requirements

Minimum Batch sizes at Origin and Delivery Barrels at Destination are shown in the table below.

Origin	Destination	Minimum Batch	Minimum Delivery
Colton, San Bernardino County, California	All Locations	5,000 Bbls	2,500 Bbls

EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS	
C.P.L. ……………………………………………………………..…	Calnev Pipe Line LLC
Cal PUC …………………………………………………………	California Public Utilities Commission

EXPLANATION OF REFERENCE MARKS	
Reference Mark	Explanation
[I]	Increase
[U]	Unchanged rate
[W]	Change in wording only
[C]	Cancel

NOTES	
①	Restricted to jet fuels.
②	Restricted to military jet fuels.
[C]~~③~~	[C] ~~This tariff will take effect January 14, 2013, unless suspended by the Cal PUC.~~

EXHIBIT D

Calnev Financial Statements

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Calnev Pipe Line LLC	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet Statement

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

1.) For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

2.) On line 30, include depreciation applicable to investment in system property.

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

Line No.	Item (a)	Reference Page No. for Annual (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance (in dollars) (c)	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (in dollars) (d)
	CURRENT ASSETS			
1	Cash (10)			
2	Special Deposits (10-5)			
3	Temporary Investments (11)			
4	Notes Receivable (12)			
5	Receivables from Affiliated Companies (13)	200	6,510,211	8,106,379
6	Accounts Receivable (14)		13,021,014	5,516,473
7	Accumulated Provision For Uncollectible Accounts (14-5)		(199,578)	(200,000)
8	Interest and Dividends Receivable (15)			
9	Oil Inventory (16)			
10	Material and Supplies (17)		114,086	59,486
11	Prepayment (18)		98,367	87,634
12	Other Current Assets (19)			
13	Deferred Income Tax Assets (19-5)	230-231		
14	TOTAL Current Assets (Total of lines 1 thru 13)		19,544,100	13,569,972
	INVESTMENTS AND SPECIAL FUNDS			
	Investments in Affiliated Companies (20):			
15	Stocks	202-203		
16	Bonds	202-203		
17	Other Secured Obligations	202-203		
18	Unsecured Notes	202-203		
19	Investment Advances	202-203	12,806,114	95,177,258
20	Undistributed Earnings from Certain Invest. in Acct. 20	204		
	Other Investments (21):			
21	Stocks			
22	Bonds			
23	Other Secured Obligations			
24	Unsecured Notes			
25	Investment Advances			
26	Sinking and other funds (22)			
27	TOTAL Investment and Special Funds (Total lines 15 thru 26)		12,806,114	95,177,258
	TANGIBLE PROPERTY			
28	Carrier Property (30)	213 & 215	348,035,607	340,123,279

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Calnev Pipe Line LLC	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet Statement (continued)

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

1.) For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

2.) On line 30, include depreciation applicable to investment in system property.

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

Line No.	Item (a)	Reference Page No. for Annual (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance (in dollars) (c)	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (in dollars) (d)
29	(Less) Accrued Depreciation-Carrier Property (31)	216 & 217	83,723,441	75,397,838
30	(Less) Accrued Amortization-Carrier Property (32)			
31	Net Carrier Property (Line 28 less 29 and 30)		264,312,166	264,725,441
32	Operating Oil Supply (33)			
33	Noncarrier Property (34)	220	37,928,836	30,409,838
34	(Less) Accrued Depreciation-Noncarrier Property		5,815,636	4,957,651
35	Net Noncarrier Property (Line 33 less 34)		32,113,200	25,452,187
36	TOTAL Tangible Property (Total of lines 31, 32, and 35)		296,425,366	290,177,628
	OTHER ASSETS AND DEFERRED CHARGES			
37	Organization Costs and Other Intangibles (40)		187,694,899	187,694,899
38	(Less) Accrued Amortization of Intangibles (41)		3,548,450	3,548,450
39	Reserved			
40	Miscellaneous Other Assets (43)			
41	Other Deferred Charges (44)	221	316,120	3,256,049
42	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Assets (45)	230-231		
43	Derivative Instrument Assets (46)			
44	Derivative Instrument Assets - Hedges (47)			
45	TOTAL Other Assets and Deferred Charges (37 thru 44)		184,462,569	187,402,498

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Calnev Pipe Line LLC	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet Statement (continued)

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

1.) For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

2.) On line 30, include depreciation applicable to investment in system property.

For instructions covering this schedule, see the text and instructions pertaining to Balance Sheet Accounts in the U.S. of A. The entries in this balance sheet should be consistent with those in the supporting schedules on the pages indicated.

Line No.	Item (a)	Reference Page No. for Annual (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance (in dollars) (c)	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (in dollars) (d)
46	TOTAL Assets (Total of lines 14, 27, 36 and 45)		513,238,149	586,327,356
	CURRENT LIABILITIES			
47	Notes Payable (50)			
48	Payables to Affiliated Companies (51)	225	4,930,690	5,311,453
49	Accounts Payable (52)		4,469,422	4,712,149
50	Salaries and Wages Payable (53)			
51	Interest Payable (54)			
52	Dividends Payable (55)			
53	Taxes Payable (56)		175,185	36,451
54	Long-Term Debt - Payable Within One Year (57)	226-227		
55	Other Current Liabilities (58)		3,313,171	4,294,887
56	Deferred Income Tax Liabilities (59)	230-231		
57	TOTAL Current Liabilities (Total of lines 47 thru 56)		12,888,468	14,354,940
	NONCURRENT LIABILITIES			
58	Long-Term Debt - Payable After One Year (60)	226-227		
59	Unamortized Premium on Long-Term Debt (61)			
60	(Less) Unamortized Discount on Long-Term Debt-Dr. (62)			
61	Other Noncurrent Liabilities (63)		12,036,301	10,661,826
62	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Liabilities (64)	230-231		
63	Derivative Instrument Liabilities (65)			
64	Derivative Instrument Liabilities - Hedges (66)			
65	Asset Retirement Obligations (67)			
66	TOTAL Noncurrent Liabilities (Total of lines 58 thru 65)		12,036,301	10,661,826
67	TOTAL Liabilities (Total of lines 57 and 66)		24,924,769	25,016,766
	STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
68	Capital Stock (70)	251	2,000	2,000
69	Premiums on Capital Stock (71)			
70	Capital Stock Subscriptions (72)			
71	Additional Paid-In Capital (73)	254	352,115,838	352,115,838
72	Appropriated Retained Income (74)	118		
73	Unappropriated Retained Income (75)	119	136,195,542	209,192,752
74	(Less) Treasury Stock (76)			
75	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (77)	116		
76	TOTAL Stockholders' Equity (Total of lines 68 thru 75)		488,313,380	561,310,590
77	TOTAL Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Total of lines 67 and 76)		513,238,149	586,327,356

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 110 Line No.: 28 Column: c
Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

Schedule Page: 110 Line No.: 28 Column: d
Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

Schedule Page: 110 Line No.: 33 Column: c
Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

Schedule Page: 110 Line No.: 33 Column: d
Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Calnev Pipe Line LLC	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Income Statement

1. Enter in column (c) the year to date operations for the period, and enter in column (d) the year to date operations for the same period of the prior year.

2. Enter in column (e) the operations for the reporting quarter and enter in column (f) the operations for the same three month period for the prior year. Do not report Annual data in columns (e) and (f)

Line No.	Item (a)	Reference Page No. in Annual Report (b)	Total current year to date Balance for Quarter/Year (c)	Total prior year to date Balance for Quarter/Year (d)	Current 3 months ended Quarterly only no 4th Quarter (e)	Prior 3 months ended Quarterly only no 4th Quarter (f)
	ORDINARY ITEMS - Carrier Operating Income					
1	Operating Revenues (600)	301	53,179,220	58,057,802		
2	(Less) Operating Expenses (610)	302-303	89,528,460	44,988,832		
3	Net Carrier Operating Income		(36,349,240)	13,068,970		
	Other Income and Deductions					
4	Income (Net) from Noncarrier Property (620)	335	8,218,651	9,241,107		
5	Interest and Dividend Income (From Investment under Cost Only) (630)	336	551,263	571,296		
6	Miscellaneous Income (640)	337	1,682,319	7,465,942		
7	Unusual or Infrequent Items--Credits (645)					
8	(Less) Interest Expense (650)					
9	(Less) Miscellaneous Income Charges (660)	337	203			
10	(Less) Unusual or Infrequent Items--Debit (665)					
11	Dividend Income (From Investments under Equity Only)					
12	Undistributed Earnings (Losses)	205				
13	Equity in Earnings (Losses) of Affiliated Companies (Total lines 11 and 12)					
14	TOTAL Other Income and Deductions (Total lines 4 thru 10 and 13)		10,452,030	17,278,345		
15	Ordinary Income before Federal Income Taxes (Line 3 +/- 14)		(25,897,210)	30,347,315		
16	(Less) Income Taxes on Income from Continuing Operations (670)					
17	(Less) Provision for Deferred Taxes (671)	230-231				
18	Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations (Total lines 15 thru 17)		(25,897,210)	30,347,315		
	Discontinued Operations					
19	Income (Loss) from Operations of Discontinued Segments (675)*					
20	Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Discontinued Segments (676)*					
21	TOTAL Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations (Lines 19 and 20)					
22	Income (Loss) before Extraordinary Items (Total lines 18 and 21)		(25,897,210)	30,347,315		
	EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS AND ACCOUNT CHANGES					
23	Extraordinary Items -- Net -- (Debit) Credit (680)	337				
24	Income Taxes on Extraordinary Items -- Debit (Credit) (695)	337				
25	Provision for Deferred Taxes -- Extraordinary Items (696)	230-231				
26	TOTAL Extraordinary Items (Total lines 23 thru 25)					
27	Cumulative Effect of Changes in Accounting Principles (697)*					
28	TOTAL Extraordinary Items and Accounting Changes -- (Debit) Credit (Line 26 + 27)					
29	Net Income (Loss) (Total lines 22 and 28)		(25,897,210)	30,347,315		

* Less applicable income taxes as reported on page 122

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 114 Line No.: 6 Column: c

Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

Schedule Page: 114 Line No.: 6 Column: d

Account activity reflects compliance with FERC Opinion No. 511-A issued in December of 2011

EXHIBIT E

Form of Shipper Notice (plus subscriber list)

KINDER MORGAN
CALNEV PIPE LINE LLC

July 19, 2013

To Calnev Pipe Line LLC's California Intrastate Shippers and Interested Parties:

This is to inform you that on July 19, 2013, Calnev Pipe Line LLC ("Calnev") submitted an application to the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") under Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code requesting to increase the intrastate rates it charges for transportation of refined petroleum products by pipeline. The proposed rate increase results in an expected annual revenue increase of approximately $846,000.

Section 454 authorizes oil pipeline public utilities like Calnev to increase their public utility pipeline transportation rates subject to a showing before the CPUC and a finding by the CPUC that the proposed new rates are justified. Therefore, the proposed rate increase will take effect only upon CPUC approval.

With this rate increase, Calnev will continue to realize a negative return on equity rate base and will continue to underearn any reasonably derived cost of service. The effective rates, proposed rates and percent increase for each movement as submitted in the application are as follows:

Origin	Destination	Existing Rates (effective 1/14/13)	Proposed Rate	Percent Increase
Colton	Adelanto	$0.3702	$0.3702	00.00%
Colton	BNSF	$0.4815	$0.7315	51.92%
Colton	SCIA	$0.5445	$0.7945	45.91%
Colton	Barstow	$0.5565	$0.8065	44.92%
Colton	Yermo	$0.4961	$0.7461	50.39%

Any protests related to the proposed rate increase should be directed to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Energy Branch
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

The filing is available on the Kinder Morgan Website (www.kindermorgan.com), under Products Pipelines, Pacific Operations, Calnev, Tariffs. Please direct any questions you may have concerning this filing, including requests for copies of the referenced application, to the undersigned at tariff_group@kindermorgan.com.

Peter M. Dito
Director, Economics and Regulatory Analysis

cc: Gregory S. Reisinger
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Calnev Pipe Line LLC
CPUC Application filed July 19, 2013
List of Tariff Subscribers

COMPANY_NAME	FIRST_NAME	LAST_NAME	ADDRESS_LINE_1	ADDRESS_LINE_2	CITY	STATE	ZIP_CODE	EMAIL
Venable LLP	Steven A.	Adducci	575 7th St. NW		Washington	DC	20004	saadducci@venable.com
PDS Energy Information	Tariff	Analyst	P.O. Box 1606		Austin	TX	78767	tariffs@pdsenergy.com
Tesoro Refining And Marketing Co.	Dennis	Bak	2350 E. 223rd		Carson	CA	90810	Dennis.C.Bak@tsocorp.com
SC Fuels	Mayra	Bejarano	1800 W. Katella Ave	Suite 400	Orange	CA	92867	bejaranom@scfuels.com
Shell Pipeline Company LP	Joletta	Bennett	P.O. Box 2648	Rm. 1453 TSP	Houston	TX	77252	Joletta.Bennett@Shell.com
Rebel Oil Co., Inc.	Gregg	Benson	2200 S. Highland Dr.		Las Vegas	NV	89102	greggb@rebeloil.com
Cosmo Oil of USA	Dan	Burns	21250 Hawthorne Blvd.	Ste 550	Torrance	CA	90503	dburns@cosmooilusa.com
Phillips 66	Donna	Byers	600 N. Dairy Ashford	HU 2020B	Houston	TX	77079	CommercialPricing@p66.com
Energy Analysis International	Tariff	Coordinator	12000 N. Pecos	Ste. 310	Westminster	CO	80234	tariffs@eaiweb.com
Goldstein & Associates	Matthew A.	Corcoran	1757 P. Street N.W.		Washington	DC	20036	mcorcoran@goldstein-law.com
Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Diane B.	Cvitko	1801 Connecticut Ave. NW	Suite 750	Washington	DC	20006	cvitko.diane@dorsey.com
ConocoPhillips	Timothy	Davison	600 N. Dairy Ashford	CH 3080	Houston	TX	77079	timothy.r.davison@conocophillips.com
Chevron Products Ompany	R. Harding	Erwin, Jr.	1400 Smith Street	Room 7164	Houston	TX	77002	herwin@chevron.com
Union Pacific Railroad	Jeff	Glasgow	1400 Douglas St.	Stop 0780	Omaha	NE	68179	jwglasgo@up.com
Goldstein & Associates	Melvin	Goldstein	1757 P. Street N.W.		Washington	DC	20036	mgoldstein@goldstein-law.com
CL Thomas, Inc.	Jennifer	Hasdorff	715 West Bonanza		Las Vegas	NV	89106	tpsupply@clthomas.com
Enterprise Products	Andrew	Hill	1100 Louisiana Street	Suite 1000	Houston	TX	77002	tariffs@eprod.com
Koch Pipeline Company	Mike	Hoover	4111 E 37th St N		Wichita	KS	67201	mike.hoover@kochpipeline.com
Allied Washoe	Scott	Inman	P.O. Box 6930		Reno	NV	89513	Scott@Alliedwashoe.com
Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Frederick G.	Jauss, IV	1801 Connecticut Ave. NW	Suite 750	Washington	DC	20006	jauss.fred@dorsey.com
Pro Petroleum, Inc.	Matt	Johnson	P.O. Box 6761		Phoenix	AZ	85009	mattj@propetroleum.com
Leonard B. Levine & Associates	Len	Levine	P.O. Box 3730		Washington	DC	20027	len.levine@lensonwashington.com
Valero Marketing & Supply Co.	Wendy	Matthys	2402 E. Anaheim		Wilmington	CA	90744	Wendy.Matthys@valero.com
Shell Oil Products US Supply	Jennifer	McCann	909 Fannin St.	Ste 77250	Houston	TX	77010	jennifer.McCann@shell.com
Shell Aviation USA	Warren	Meyer	P.O. Box 2105		Houston	TX	77252	warren.meyer@shell.com
ConocoPhillips	Mary	Miller	600 N. Dairy Ashford		Houston	TX	77079	Mary.G.Miller@conocophillip.com
SC Fuels	Marie	Morgan	1800 W. Katella Ave	Suite 400	Orange	CA	92863	morganm@scfuels.com
Enterprise Products	Steve	Moses	1100 Louisiana Street		Houston	TX	77002	Smoses@eprod.com
Husch Blackwell LLP	Elisabeth R.	Myers	750 17th St. NW	Ste. 1000	Washington	DC	20006	elisabeth.myers@huschblackwell.com
Chevron Global Supply and Trading	Nancy	Phillips	1500 Louisiana St.		Houston	TX	77002	pipeupdt@chevron.com
Venable LLP	Richard E.	Powers, Jr.	575 7th St. NW		Washington	DC	20004	repowers@venable.com
Burlington No.& Santa Fe Railway Co.	Kristi	Radford	2600 Lou Menk Dr.	OOB-2	Fort Worth	TX	76131	Kristi.Radford@bnsf.com
CL Thomas, Inc	John	Saxon	715 West Bonanza		Las Vegas	NV	89106	jsaxon@CLThomas.com
Valero Marketing & Supply Co.	Andrea	Simpson	2402 E. Anaheim		Wilmington	CA	90744	andrea.simpson@valero.com
Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Marcus W.	Sisk, Jr.	1801 Connecticut Ave. NW	Suite 750	Washington	DC	20006	sisk.marcus@dorsey.com
Tesoro Refining And Marketing Co.	Victoria	Somers	19100 Ridgewood Parkway		San Antonio	TX	78259	Victoria.R.Somers@tsocorp.com
Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Ritchie & Day,	James	Squeri	505 Sansome St.	Ste. 900	San Francisco	CA	94111	jsqueri@GMSSR.com
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation	Ray	Stewert	3700 W. 190th St.		Torrance	CA	90509	ray.a.stewert@exxonmobil.com
Trejo Oil Company	Steve	Strong	2096 Donner Dr.		Lake Havasu City	AZ	86406	Steve@SteveStrong.org
US Airways, Inc.	Scott	Trampus	Pittsburgh Int'l Airport	P.O. Box 12346 PIT-OSB-FUEL	Pittsburgh	PA	15231	trampus@usairways.com
Tesoro Refining And Marketing Co.	John	Tsouvalas	2350 E. 223rd		Carson	CA	90810	John.Tsouvalas@tsocorp.com
Tower Energy Group	Mark	Vasey	1983 W. 190th St		Torrance	CA	90504	operations@towerenergy.com
BP Pipelines	Denny	Vicente	28100 Torch Pkwy.		Warrenville	IL	60555	Denny.Vicente@bp.com
Weber & Associates, P.C.	George L.	Weber	1629 K. Street, NW	Suite 300	Washington	DC	20036	glweber44@aol.com
Valero Energy Corp	Kathy	Wright	P.O. Box 696000		San Antonio	TX	78269	Kathy.Wright@valero.com
Tesoro Companies Inc.	Pricing - Master	Data Unit	19100 Ridgewood Parkway	TX1-091	San Antonio	TX	78259	pricingmasterdata@tsocorp.com
AirBP	Justin	Colley	150 W Warrenville Road	Bldg 200	Naperville	IL	60563	Justin.Colley@bp.com
Tesoro Refining And Marketing Co.	Anahi	Covarrubias	19100 Ridgewood Parkway	TX1-091	San Antonio	TX	78259	Anahi.G.Covarrubias@tsocorp.com
Valero	Carol	Kasprzak	One Valero Way		San Antonio	TX	78249	carol.kasprzak@valero.com